# The cosmic web and halo alignment

Noam I Libeskind, Leibniz Institüt für Astrophysik Potsdam (AIP)



Yehuda Hoffman (Jerusalem), Jaime Forero-Romero (Berkeley) Stefan Gottlöber (Potsdam), Alexander Knebe (Madrid) Matthias Steinmetz (Potsdam),



The Transit of Venus, 2012



The Adoration of the Magi with St Anthony Abbot, Unknown, Netherlands, 1390



## Galaxy and satellite galaxy alignments



Pawlowski et al 2012

Therefore, in order to keep me from becoming conceited, I was given a **thorn in my flesh** ... to torment me. 2 Corinthians 12:7 The alignment of satellite galaxies (the "disc of Satellites") is a thorn in the side of CDM

May be due to preferential infall, but... from where?



Aqarius halo, Springel et al 2008



What causes the aniostropic infall of satellite galaxies? What determines their trajectory and orbit? Is it related to the large scale structure ?

Galaxy spins are related to LSS – why not satellite trajectories?



Lee & Erdogdu 2007:

Alignment of a galaxy's spin axis with the intermediate axes of the local shear tensor from the reconstructed tidal field of 2MASS







 $\begin{array}{c} \mbox{Collapsing proto-halo at} \\ \mbox{high redshift with Inertia} \\ \mbox{Tensor of } 2^{nd} \mbox{ moments} \\ I_{\alpha\beta} \end{array}$ 





 $\begin{array}{c} \mbox{Collapsing proto-halo at} \\ \mbox{high redshift with Inertia} \\ \mbox{Tensor of } 2^{nd} \mbox{ moments} \\ I_{\alpha\beta} \end{array}$ 







Collapsing proto-halo at high redshift with Inertia Tensor of  $2^{nd}$  moments  $I_{\alpha\beta}$ 



Gravitational field  $\Phi(\mathbf{r})$  with gradien $\nabla \Phi$ 



tidal	shear	$\Sigma_{\alpha\beta}$
-------	-------	------------------------





Collapsing proto-halo at high redshift with Inertia Tensor of  $2^{nd}$  moments  $I_{\alpha\beta}$ 



Gravitational field  $\Phi(\mathbf{r})$  with gradien $\nabla \Phi$ 



tidal shear	Σ <sub>αβ</sub>
-------------	-----------------



Angular momentum acquired through tidal torques





Collapsing proto-halo at high redshift with Inertia Tensor of  $2^{nd}$  moments  $I_{\alpha\beta}$ 



Gravitational field  $\Phi(\mathbf{r})$  with gradien  $\nabla \Phi$ 



idal shear $\Sigma_{o}$	ß
-------------------------	---



Angular momentum acquired through tidal torques



As the proto-halo collapses it decouples from the Shear flow; at some point the "lever arms" of the inertia tensor are too small for any more torques to be imparted. Tidal torques stop. Leibniz-Institut für Astrophysik Potsdam



In the principle axis of the shear  $(T_{ij})$ , this can be written as

$$L_1 \propto (\lambda_2 - \lambda_3)I_{23}, \quad L_2 \propto (\lambda_3 - \lambda_1)I_{31}, \quad L_3 \propto (\lambda_1 - \lambda_2)I_{12}$$
  
Greatest, hence  
halo spin should

align with the intermediate axis of the Shear

Lee & Lee 2006 Lee & Erdogdu 2008 + others



Shear can be used to quantify the Cosmic web (Bond et al 1996)





Springel et al 2005

How does the web effect galaxy formation?



The cosmic web can be classified either by looking at the velocity shear tensor

$$\Sigma_{lphaeta}=-rac{1}{2}ig(rac{\partial v_lpha}{\partial r_eta}+rac{\partial v_eta}{\partial r_lpha}ig)/H_0,$$

We can diagonalize the shear tensor to obtain the eigenvectors  $\hat{e}_i$  and eigenvalues  $\lambda_i$ . (Order them such that  $\lambda_1 > \lambda_2 > \lambda_3$ )

By counting the number of eigenvalues above a given threshold  $\lambda_{\text{th}}$  we can classify each point (cell) in space according to the web

#### See Ofer Metuki's talk



The cosmic web can be classified either by looking at the velocity shear tensor

$$\Sigma_{lphaeta}=-rac{1}{2}ig(rac{\partial v_lpha}{\partial r_eta}+rac{\partial v_eta}{\partial r_lpha}ig)/H_0,$$

We can diagonalize the shear tensor to obtain the eigenvectors  $\hat{e}_i$  and eigenvalues  $\lambda_i$ . (Order them such that  $\lambda_1 > \lambda_2 > \lambda_3$ )

By counting the number of eigenvalues above a given threshold  $\lambda_{\text{th}}$  we can classify each point (cell) in space according to the web





**SHEET**  $\lambda_1 > \lambda_{th}$ ;  $\lambda_2, \lambda_3 < \lambda_{th}$  Collapse along one axis  $(\hat{e}_1)$ , expansion along the two  $(\hat{e}_2, \hat{e}_3)$ 

**FILAMENT**  $\lambda_{1,} \lambda_{2} > \lambda_{th}$ ;  $\lambda_{3} < \lambda_{th}$  Collapse along two axes  $(\hat{e}_{1,}, \hat{e}_{2})$ , expansion along the other  $(\hat{e}_{3})$ 

**KNOT**  $\lambda_1 > \lambda_2 > \lambda_3 > \lambda_{th}$  All three eigenvectors of the shear tensor are collapsing









 $L_{\rm box}$ =250  $h^{-1}$  Mpc with 2048<sup>3</sup>  $\approx$  8 billion particles  $m_{\rm DM}$ ~ 1.3  $\times$  108  $h^{-1}M_{\odot}$  $r_{\rm soft}$ ~ 1 kpc.

 $256^3$  grid cells = ~1 Mpc

This is a **kinematical** classification, not a geometric one – hence it is interesting to compare with kinematic halo properties such as halo **spin** and subhalo **orbital angular momentum**  h=0.7,  $\Omega_{\Lambda} = 0.73,$   $\Omega_{m} = 0.27,$   $\Omega_{b} = 0.046,$ n= 0.95  $\sigma_{8} = 0.82.$ 





Grid size selection is important:

We want to probe the Large Scale (> rvir) alignment not the internal alignment.







The distribution of measured eigenvalues



Just as the distribution of haloes is biased towards high density peaks so to is the value of the cosmic shear.



How can we quantifying the uniformity of the eigenvalues

1. Triaxiality:

 $(\lambda_1^2 - \lambda_3^2) / (\lambda_1^2 - \lambda_2^2)$  **FAILS** since the ordering of  $\lambda$ 's is by value not magnitude

## 2. Eigenvalue ratio

 $\lambda_1 / \lambda_3$  **FAILS** for the same reason – also sign makes it a problem

- 3. Bardeen et al 1986, eccentricty
- $e = (\boldsymbol{\lambda}_1 \boldsymbol{\lambda}_3) / 2 | \boldsymbol{\lambda}_1 + \boldsymbol{\lambda}_2 + \boldsymbol{\lambda}_3 |$

**FAILS** when e.g.  $\lambda_1 \sim -\lambda_3$  and  $\lambda_2 \sim 0$ ,  $e \rightarrow$  infty. Also, unbound

All these measures are **WEB TYPE DEPENDENT** 



$$\mathrm{FA} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \sqrt{\frac{(\lambda_1 - \lambda_3)^2 + (\lambda_2 - \lambda_3)^2 + (\lambda_1 - \lambda_2)^2}{\lambda_1^2 + \lambda_2^2 + \lambda_3^2}}$$



P. J. Basser Inferring microstructural features and the physiological state of tissues from diffusionweighted images. NMR in Biomedical Imaging, 8, 333 (1995)

Leibniz-Institut für AIP Astrophysik Potsdam





$$\mathrm{FA} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \sqrt{\frac{(\lambda_1 - \lambda_3)^2 + (\lambda_2 - \lambda_3)^2 + (\lambda_1 - \lambda_2)^2}{\lambda_1^2 + \lambda_2^2 + \lambda_3^2}}$$











$$FA = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \sqrt{\frac{(\lambda_1 - \lambda_3)^2 + (\lambda_2 - \lambda_3)^2 + (\lambda_1 - \lambda_2)^2}{\lambda_1^2 + \lambda_2^2 + \lambda_3^2}}$$



$$FA = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \sqrt{\frac{(\lambda_1 - \lambda_3)^2 + (\lambda_2 - \lambda_3)^2 + (\lambda_1 - \lambda_2)^2}{\lambda_1^2 + \lambda_2^2 + \lambda_3^2}}$$





(1) Calculate Shear eigenvalues

$$\Sigma_{lphaeta}=-rac{1}{2}ig(rac{\partial v_lpha}{\partial r_eta}+rac{\partial v_eta}{\partial r_lpha}ig)/H_0,$$



(3) How are haloes aligned with the web (eigenvalues) and how does this depend on isotropy?



Alignment of halo shape – halo is defined by the inertia tensor *a*, *b*, *c* 





# Alignment of halo shape – halo is defined by the inertia tensor *a*, *b*, *c*

Use the principle axes of the web  $\hat{e}_{1,} \hat{e}_{2,} \hat{e}_{3}$  and construct angular distribution of  $a \cdot \hat{e}_{3}, b \cdot \hat{e}_{2}, c \cdot \hat{e}_{1}$ 





# 

# Web INDEPENDENT

Strength is mass DEPENDENT

Statistically significant alignment of a with  $\hat{\mathbf{e}}_3$  at all masses







Web INDEPENDENT (a bit stronger in voids: could be statistical )

Strength is mass DEPENDENT

Statistically significant alignment of a with  $\hat{\mathbf{e}}_3$  at all masses







spin alignment

Look at the angle formed between a halo's spin and the principle axes of the cosmic web

- Alignment with  $e_2$  is confirmed





spin alignment

Look at the angle formed between a halo's spin and the principle axes of the cosmic web





Low mass haloes aligned with  $\hat{e}_3$ 

High mass haloes aligned perpendicular to  $\hat{e}_3$ 

Spin flip occurs at 1e12 (Codis et al, Aragon-Calvo et al) as a halo's merger history goes from being accretion dominated to merger dominated





Spin alignment is web independent, but the mass transition is web dependent.







Spin alignment is web independent, but the mass transition is web dependent.



Does the uniformity of the shear play a role?





Mass of halo is correlated with the FA





Mass is constant with respect to *FA* until a critical mass after which mass becomes correlated with *FA*.

$$\begin{split} & \text{Knots} \sim 10^{12.8} \, \text{M}_{\text{sol}} \\ & \text{Filaments} \sim 10^{12.4} \, \text{M}_{\text{sol}} \\ & \text{Sheets} \sim 10^{11.8} \, \text{M}_{\text{sol}} \\ & \text{Voids} \sim 10^{11.4} \, \text{M}_{\text{sol}} \end{split}$$

"Low" mass haloes exist in regions of any *FA*, while high mass haloes tend to prefer low *FA* 

Mass of halo is correlated with the FA





Alignment of J with  $e_3$  following these cuts gives the proper mass of the transition

$$\begin{split} & \text{Knots} \sim 10^{12.8} \, \text{M}_{\text{sol}} \\ & \text{Filaments} \sim 10^{12.4} \, \text{M}_{\text{sol}} \\ & \text{Sheets} \sim 10^{11.8} \, \text{M}_{\text{sol}} \\ & \text{Voids} \sim 10^{11.4} \, \text{M}_{\text{sol}} \end{split}$$





Alignment of subhalo orbital J with shear divided by parent halo mass

Random except for the largest parent haloes



### Conclusions

- 1. Strong alignment found between a halo's shape and the cosmic web
- 2. An alignment is also found between the Spin and the cosmic web the sense of which is mass dependent high mass haloes spin perpendicular to  $e_3$ .
- 3. The mass transition at which haloes go from spinning parallel to perpendicular is web dependent
- 4. The cosmic shear eigenvalue relationship can be characterized with the fractional anisotropy
- 5. There exist a mass above which halo mass correlates with FA
- 6. That mass is roughly the same at which halo spin flips
- 7. Subhalo orbits also reflect the large scale structure



We can test for the effects of grid resolution by refining it to span  $1000^3$  cells = 250 kpc

Upper Mass limit is thus  $\sim 10^{11.5}$  Msol



All spins are parallel to  $e_3$ 



We can test for the effects of grid resolution by refining it to span  $1000^3$  cells = 250 kpc

Upper Mass limit is thus  $\sim 10^{11.5}$  Msol

 $M \le 10^{10}$  $10^{10} \le M \le 10^{11}$ M>10<sup>11</sup> e3.J 1.04 1.02 Total P(lcosøl) 1.00 0.98 0.96 0.0 0.2 0.4 0.6 0.8

All spins are parallel to  $e_3$ 



### Conclusions

- 1. Strong alignment found between a halo's shape and the cosmic web
- 2. An alignment is also found between the Spin and the cosmic web the sense of which is mass dependent high mass haloes spin perpendicular to  $e_3$ .
- 3. The mass transition at which haloes go from spinning parallel to perpendicular is web dependent
- 4. The cosmic shear eigenvalue relationship can be characterized with the fractional anisotropy
- 5. There exist a mass above which halo mass correlates with FA
- 6. That mass is roughly the same at which halo spin flips
- 7. Subhaloe orbits also reflect the large scale structure

# THANK YOU!





where we

v 644 64



After the tidal torques have been applied, what happens to the spins of haloes?

What about their substructure orbital spin?

What do numerical simulations indicate?



Gravitational field  $\Phi(r)$ with gradient  $\nabla \Phi$ causes a tidal shear  $\Sigma_{\alpha\beta}$  As the proto-halo collapses it decouples from the Shear flow; at some point the "lever arms" of the inertia tensor are too small for any more torques to be imparted. Tidal torques stop.



Mis-alignment between the inertia tensor and gravitational tidal tensor imparts torques

**Tidal Torque Theory** (Hoyle 1949; Peebles 1969; Doroshkevich 1970; White 1984, Catelan & Theuns 1996; Crittenden et al. 2001; **Schäfer 2009**)



Gravitational field  $\Phi(r)$ with gradient  $\nabla \Phi$ causes a tidal shear  $\Sigma_{\alpha\beta}$  As the proto-halo collapses it decouples from the Shear flow; at some point the "lever arms" of the inertia tensor are too small for any more torques to be imparted. Tidal torques stop.



How do galaxies/haloes get their spin? **Mis-alignment** between the inertia tensor and gravitational tidal tensor imparts torques.

**Tidal Torque Theory** (Hoyle 1949; Peebles 1969; Doroshkevich 1970; White 1984, Catelan & Theuns 1996; Crittenden et al. 2001; **Schäfer 2009**)



Collapsing proto-halo at high redshift with Inertia Tensor of 2<sup>nd</sup> moments  $I_{\alpha\beta}$ 



Mis-alignment between the inertia tensor and gravitational tidal tensor imparts torques

**Tidal Torque Theory** (Hoyle 1949; Peebles 1969; Doroshkevich 1970; White 1984, Catelan & Theuns 1996; Crittenden et al. 2001; **Schäfer 2009**)



Gravitational field  $\Phi(\textbf{r})$  with gradient  $\boldsymbol{\nabla}\Phi$ 



Mis-alignment between the inertia tensor and gravitational tidal tensor imparts torques

**Tidal Torque Theory** (Hoyle 1949; Peebles 1969; Doroshkevich 1970; White 1984, Catelan & Theuns 1996; Crittenden et al. 2001; **Schäfer 2009**)



Gravitational field  $\Phi(\textbf{r})$  with gradient  $\nabla\Phi$  causes a tidal shear  $\Sigma_{\alpha\beta}$ 



Mis-alignment between the inertia tensor and gravitational tidal tensor imparts torques

**Tidal Torque Theory** (Hoyle 1949; Peebles 1969; Doroshkevich 1970; White 1984, Catelan & Theuns 1996; Crittenden et al. 2001; **Schäfer 2009**)



Angular momentum acquired through tidal torques





#### Leibniz-Institut für AIP Astrophysik Potsdam

**VOID**  $\lambda_{th} > \lambda_2 > \lambda_3$  All three eigenvectors of the shear tensor are expanding

**SHEET**  $\lambda_1 > \lambda_{th}$ ;  $\lambda_2, \lambda_3 < \lambda_{th}$  Collapse along one axis  $(\hat{e}_1)$ , expansion along the two  $(\hat{e}_2, \hat{e}_3)$ 





**SHEET**  $\lambda_1 > \lambda_{th}$ ;  $\lambda_2, \lambda_3 < \lambda_{th}$  Collapse along one axis  $(\hat{e}_1)$ , expansion along the two  $(\hat{e}_2, \hat{e}_3)$ 

**FILAMENT**  $\lambda_{l_1}, \lambda_2 > \lambda_{th}; \lambda_3 < \lambda_{th}$  Collapse along two axes  $(\hat{e}_1, \hat{e}_2)$ , expansion along the other  $(\hat{e}_3)$ 





**SHEET**  $\lambda_1 > \lambda_{th}$ ;  $\lambda_2, \lambda_3 < \lambda_{th}$  Collapse along one axis  $(\hat{e}_1)$ , expansion along the two  $(\hat{e}_2, \hat{e}_3)$ 

**FILAMENT**  $\lambda_{1,} \lambda_{2} > \lambda_{th}$ ;  $\lambda_{3} < \lambda_{th}$  Collapse along two axes  $(\hat{e}_{1,}, \hat{e}_{2})$ , expansion along the other  $(\hat{e}_{3})$ 

**KNOT**  $\lambda_1 > \lambda_2 > \lambda_3 > \lambda_{th}$  All three eigenvectors of the shear tensor are collapsing





Velocities exacerbate the situation and threaten the CDM model



# Anisotropy of orbits at accretion leads to anisotropic z=0 distributions – preferential infall



Libeskind et al 2011 Knebe et al 2004

+ Li & Helmi 2008, Lovell et al 2011, Vera-ciro et al 2011 *et cetera* 

